Exposure 2018						
Oral Session Judging Criteria					ро	nt
Presenter's Name:		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Time: 10 min presentation time followed by a maximum of 5min of questions. For exceeding the time limit, the penalty is 1 point for each minute over.						
Academic Content	Context / Background: How well does the presentation explain the background, context and aims / objectives of the research? Is there a critical engagement with literature / other research in the field?	1	2	3	4	5
	Methods: How effectively does the methodology emerge from the presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
	Conclusions: How effectively are the conclusions of the presentation discussed? For presentations on research-in-progress, consider how effectively the student has considered the broader implications to date.	1	2	3	4	5
	9	ub-Total: / 15				
	Presentation: How coherent, competent, and well-structured is the presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
Design	Delivery: How effectively does the presenter use the time? How well integrated was the presentation delivered in relation to the supporting material / e-resources?	1	2	3	4	5
	S	Sub-Total: / 10				
Appeal to a Broad Audience	Introduction: How well does the presenter introduce themselves and the research topic?	1	2	3	4	5
	Rationale: How effectively does the entrant explain the importance of the research and identify the objectives?	1	2	3	4	5
	Comprehension: How well are the main concepts explained to a broad audience?	1	2	3	4	5
	Appeal: How well does the presenter engage and maintain audience interest? How effectively does the presenter answer questions?	1	2	3	4	5
	Creativity: How innovative, creative and effective is the presentation?	1	2	3	4	5
		Sub-1		25		
Total:					- 7	50

Additional Comments